



Russian Consensus: Standardization of Indications for Surgical Treatment of GERD

Igor Ye. Khatkov^{1,2}, Dmitry S. Bordin^{1,2,3*}, Oleg S. Vasnev¹, Sayar R. Abdulkhakov^{4,5}, Aleksandr S. Allakhverdyan², Dmitry N. Andreev², Vladimir V. Anishchenko⁶, Igor G. Bakulin⁷, Natalia V. Bakulina⁷, Mikhail V. Burmistrov⁴, Elen R. Valitova¹, Dmitry I. Vasilevsky⁸, Fedor P. Vetshev⁹, Eduard A. Gallyamov², Sergey A. Domrachev², Roman Ye. Izrailov¹, Vasily A. Isakov¹⁰, Valeria O. Kaybysheva¹¹, Mikhail A. Koshkin¹, Yury A. Kucheryavyy¹², Maria A. Livzan¹³, Oleg E. Lutsevich², Igor V. Maev², Sergey V. Morozov^{10,14}, Anton A. Moroshek⁴, Marina F. Osipenko⁶, Mikhail V. Pavlov¹, Elena V. Parfenchikova¹, Dmitry V. Ruchkin¹⁵, Alexander V. Sazhin¹¹, Alexander A. Smirnov⁸, Olga A. Storonova⁹, Alexander S. Trukhmanov⁹, Tatiana V. Khorobrykh⁹, Mikhail F. Cherkasov¹⁶, Aleksey L. Shestakov¹⁷, Kirill V. Shishin¹, Yulia V. Embutnieks¹, Vladimir T. Ivashkin⁹

¹ Loginov Moscow Clinical Scientific Center, Moscow, Russian Federation

² Russian University of Medicine, Moscow, Russian Federation

³ Tver State Medical University, Tver, Russian Federation

⁴ Kazan (Volga Region) Federal University, Kazan, Russian Federation

⁵ Kazan State Medical University, Kazan, Russian Federation

⁶ Novosibirsk State Medical University, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation

⁷ North-Western State Medical University named after I.I. Mechnikov, Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation

⁸ Academician I.P. Pavlov First Saint Petersburg State Medical University, Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation

⁹ I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University (Sechenov University), Moscow, Russian Federation

¹⁰ Federal Research Center of Nutrition, Biotechnology and Food Safety, Moscow, Russian Federation

¹¹ N.I. Pirogov Russian National Research Medical University, Moscow, Russian Federation

¹² Ilyinskaya Hospital, Krasnogorsk, Moscow Region, Russian Federation

¹³ Omsk State Medical University, Omsk, Russian Federation

¹⁴ Russian Medical Academy of Continuous Professional Education, Moscow, Russian Federation

¹⁵ National Medical Research Center of Surgery named after A. Vishnevsky, Moscow, Russian Federation

¹⁶ Rostov State Medical University, Rostov-on-Don, Russian Federation

¹⁷ Petrovsky National Research Centre of Surgery, Moscow, Russian Federation

Aim: to present the results of an Expert Consensus on standardization of indications for surgical treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD).

Materials and methods. The issues of standardization of indications for surgical treatment of GERD were discussed by 39 experts – leading gastroenterologists and surgeons from 7 cities of Russia, representing 17 institutions. The list of questions for discussion was formed by the initiative group and sent to the experts. The experts reviewed recent literature, including existing clinical guidelines and consensuses, assessed the evidence base and suggested statements for voting based on the analysis of relevant provisions of foreign consensuses, high-level scientific publications, which set out information obtained in the course of studies that meet the criteria of evidence-based medicine, positions on this issue in the Russian Federation, and suggested statements for voting. Delphi method was used to reach the consensus.

Results. GERD is the most common benign esophageal disorder. Surgery is considered one of the treatment methods for GERD. In real clinical practice, selection of patients who may benefit from surgery is challenging. The results of surgical and conservative treatment of GERD are comparable. Surgical treatment should be performed in a specialized hospital only after a joint examination with a gastroenterologist confirming the diagnosis of GERD. An appropriate volume of preoperative diagnostic workup, matters related to surgical interventions in case of incomplete response to proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) and when extraesophageal manifestations of GERD are present were discussed. The consensus reviews the indications, contraindications and possible results of antireflux operations in patients with GERD. The value of endoscopy, esophageal manometry, pH monitoring/pH-impedance monitoring and X-ray polypositional examination of the upper gastrointestinal tract as a preoperative examination of the patient is analysed.

Conclusions. The experts reached the consensus on 20 statements on standardization of indications for surgical treatment of GERD.

Keywords: gastroesophageal reflux disease, GERD, surgical treatment, esophageal manometry, esophageal pH monitoring, pH-impedance monitoring

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no conflict of interest.

For citation: Khatkov I.Ye., Bordin D.S., Vasnev O.S., Abdulkhakov S.R., Allakhverdyan A.S., Andreev D.N., Anishchenko V.V., Bakulin I.G., Bakulina N.V., Burmistrov M.V., Valitova E.R., Vasilevsky D.I., Vetshev F.P., Gallyamov E.A., Domrachev S.A., Izrailov R.Ye., Isakov V.A., Kaybysheva V.O., Koshkin M.A., Kucheryavy Yu.A., Livzan M.A., Lutsevich O.E., Maev I.V., Morozov S.V., Moroshek A.A., Osipenko M.F., Pavlov M.V., Parfenchikova E.V., Ruchkin D.V., Sazhin A.V., Smirnov A.A., Storonova O.A., Trukhmanov A.S., Khorobrykh T.V., Cherkasov M.F., Shestakov A.L., Shishin K.V., Embutnieks Yu.V., Ivashkin V.T. Russian Consensus: Standardization of Indications for Surgical Treatment of GERD. Russian Journal of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Coloproctology. 2025;35(1):74–93. <https://doi.org/10.22416/1382-4376-2025-35-1-74-93>

Российский консенсус: стандартизация показаний к хирургическому лечению ГЭРБ

И.Е. Хатьков^{1,2}, Д.С. Бордин^{1,2,3*}, О.С. Васнев¹, С.Р. Абдулхаков^{4,5}, А.С. Аллахвердян², Д.Н. Андреев², В.В. Анищенко⁶, И.Г. Бакулин⁷, Н.В. Бакулина⁷, М.В. Бурмистров⁴, Э.Р. Валитова¹, Д.И. Василевский⁸, Ф.П. Ветшев⁹, Э.А. Галлямов², С.А. Домрачев², Р.Е. Израилов¹, В.А. Исаков¹⁰, В.О. Кайбышева¹¹, М.А. Кошкин¹, Ю.А. Кучерявый¹², М.А. Ливзан¹³, О.Э. Луцевич², И.В. Маев², С.В. Морозов^{10,14}, А.А. Морошек⁴, М.Ф. Осипенко⁶, М.В. Павлов¹, Е.В. Парфенчикова¹, Д.В. Ручкин¹⁵, А.В. Сажин¹¹, А.А. Смирнов⁸, О.А. Сторонова⁹, А.С. Трухманов⁹, Т.В. Хоробрых⁹, М.Ф. Черкасов¹⁶, А.Л. Шестаков¹⁷, К.В. Шишин¹, Ю.В. Эмбутниекс¹, В.Т. Ивашин⁹

¹ ГБУЗ «Московский клинический научно-практический центр им. А.С. Логинова» Департамента здравоохранения города Москвы, Москва, Российская Федерация

² ФГБОУ ВО «Российский университет медицины» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации, Москва, Российская Федерация

³ ФГБОУ ВО «Тверской государственный медицинский университет» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации, Тверь, Российская Федерация

⁴ ФГАОУ ВО «Казанский (Приволжский) федеральный университет», Казань, Российская Федерация

⁵ ФГБОУ ВО «Казанский государственный медицинский университет» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации, Казань, Российская Федерация

⁶ ФГБОУ ВО «Новосибирский государственный медицинский университет» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации, Новосибирск, Российская Федерация

⁷ ФГБОУ ВО «Северо-Западный государственный медицинский университет им. И.И. Мечникова», Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации, Санкт-Петербург, Российская Федерация

⁸ ФГБОУ ВО «Первый Санкт-Петербургский государственный медицинский университет им. академика И.П. Павлова» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации, Санкт-Петербург, Российская Федерация

⁹ ФГАОУ ВО «Первый Московский государственный медицинский университет им. И.М. Сеченова» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации (Сеченовский Университет), Москва, Российская Федерация

¹⁰ ФГБУН «Федеральный исследовательский центр питания, биотехнологии и безопасности пищи», Москва, Российская Федерация

¹¹ ФГАОУ ВО «Российский национальный исследовательский медицинский университет им. Н.И. Пирогова» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации, Москва, Российская Федерация

¹² АО «Ильинская больница», Красногорск, Московская область, Российская Федерация

¹³ ФГБОУ ВО «Омский государственный медицинский университет» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации, Омск, Российская Федерация

¹⁴ ФГБОУ ДПО «Российская медицинская академия непрерывного профессионального образования» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации, Москва, Российская Федерация

¹⁵ ФГБУ «Национальный медицинский исследовательский центр хирургии им. А.В. Вишневского» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации, Москва, Российская Федерация

¹⁶ ФГБОУ ВО «Ростовский государственный медицинский университет» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации, Ростов-на-Дону, Российская Федерация

¹⁷ ФГБНУ «Российский научный центр хирургии им. академика Б.В. Петровского» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации, Москва, Российская Федерация

Цель: представить результаты соглашения экспертов по стандартизации показаний к хирургическому лечению гастроэзофагеальной рефлюксной болезни (ГЭРБ).

Материалы и методы. Вопросы стандартизации показаний к хирургическому лечению ГЭРБ были обсуждены 39 экспертами из числа ведущих гастроэнтерологов и хирургов из 7 городов России, представляющих 17 учреждений. Список вопросов для обсуждения был сформирован инициативной группой и разослан экспертам. Эксперты подготовили литературные справки на основе анализа соответствующих положений зарубежных консенсусов, публикаций высокого научного уровня, в которых изложены сведения, полученные в ходе исследований, соответствующих критериям медицины, основанной на доказательствах, позиции по данному вопросу в Российской Федерации, предложили положения для голосования. Голосование осуществлялось по Дельфийской системе.

Результаты. ГЭРБ — наиболее распространенное доброкачественное заболевание пищевода. Хирургическое лечение ГЭРБ рассматривается как один из методов лечения. Отбор пациентов для оперативного

вмешательства в реальной клинической практике представляет сложную задачу. Результаты хирургического и консервативного лечения ГЭРБ сопоставимы. Хирургическое лечение должно проводиться в специализированном стационаре только после совместного с гастроэнтерологом обследования, подтвердившего диагноз ГЭРБ. Обсуждается вопрос о месте хирургического лечения в случае неполного ответа на терапию ингибиторами протонной помпы, при наличии внепищеводных проявлений заболевания. Рассмотрены показания, противопоказания и возможные результаты антрефлюксной хирургии у пациентов с ГЭРБ. Проанализировано значение эзофагогастродуоденоскопии, манометрии пищевода, рН-мониторинга/рН-импеданс-мониторинга и рентгенологического полипозиционного исследования верхних отделов желудочно-кишечного тракта как предоперационного обследования пациента.

Выводы. Экспертами достигнуто согласие по 20 положениям по стандартизации показаний к хирургическому лечению ГЭРБ, представленным в настоящей публикации.

Ключевые слова: гастроэзофагеальная рефлюксная болезнь, ГЭРБ, хирургическое лечение, манометрия пищевода, рН-мониторинг пищевода, рН-импеданс-мониторинг

Конфликт интересов: авторы заявляют об отсутствии конфликта интересов.

Для цитирования: Хатьков И.Е., Бордин Д.С., Васнев О.С., Абдулхаков С.Р., Аллахвердян А.С., Андреев Д.Н., Анищенко В.В., Бакулин И.Г., Бакулина Н.В., Бурмистров М.В., Валитова Э.Р., Василевский Д.И., Ветшев Ф.П., Галлямов Э.А., Домрачев С.А., Израилов Р.Е., Исаков В.А., Кайбышева В.О., Кошкин М.А., Кучерявый Ю.А., Ливзан М.А., Луцевич О.Э., Маев И.В., Морозов С.В., Морошек А.А., Осипенко М.Ф., Павлов М.В., Парфенчикова Е.В., Ручкин Д.В., Сажин А.В., Смирнов А.А., Сторонова О.А., Трухманов А.С., Хоробрых Т.В., Черкасов М.Ф., Шестаков А.Л., Шишин К.В., Эмбутниекс Ю.В., Ивашик В.Т. Российский консенсус: стандартизация показаний к хирургическому лечению ГЭРБ. Российский журнал гастро-

Surgery is one of the treatment options for gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), together with lifestyle measures, modification of risk factors and conservative therapy. In real clinical practice, selection of patients for surgical intervention is challenging. In Russian clinical guidelines [1], a relatively small chapter is devoted to the issues of anti-reflux surgery focused on the indications in case of disease complications (repeated hemorrhage, peptic esophageal strictures, Barrett's esophagus with high-grade epithelial dysplasia proved by two morphologists, and frequent aspiration pneumonia), as well as in cases when a patient with GERD cannot be treated conservatively for any reason. Particular problems associated with a decision for surgical treatment are related to the cases of incomplete response to proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) therapy with persistent symptoms, extraesophageal manifestations of the disease, as well as the volume of preoperative diagnostic workup. These and many other issues were discussed by thirty-nine leading gastroenterologists and surgeons from seven cities of Russia, representing seventeen institutions. The list of matters for discussion was compiled by the initiative group and sent to the experts. The experts conducted a sophisticated literature review based on scrutinizing study and in-depth analysis of the relevant proceedings of the foreign consensuses and the top-ranking scientific publications, where presented information obtained from the studies met the criteria of evidence-based medicine and practical approaches eligible for the Russian Federation and suggested the statements for voting.

The Consensus statements were drawn up into a final document and circulated to all Consensus experts to justify their position by electronic voting. E-voting was carried out using the Delphi method with a Likert scale, where: "1 point" corresponded to "strongly agree" (A+); "2 points" — "agree with minor reservation" (A); "3 points" — "agree with major reservation" (A-); "4 points" — "disagree with major reservation" (D-); "5 points" — "disagree with minor reservation" (D); "6 points" — "strongly disagree" (D+). Consensus was considered to be reached if over 80 % of experts agreed with the statement (A+, A, A-) [2].

Consensus issues and statements

1. Is it necessary to conduct an 8-week course of conservative therapy prior to determination of the indications for anti-reflux surgery?

Prior to determination of the indications for anti-reflux surgery, an 8-week course of conservative therapy in combination with lifestyle modification is required.

Grade of recommendation — C;
level of evidence — 2.

Consensus level: "A+" — 79.5 %; "A" — 15.4 %; "A—" — 2.6 %; "D—" — 0 %; "D" — 2.6 %; "D+" — 0 %.

Most of the international and local guidelines do not require conservative therapy prior to determination of the indications for surgical

treatment of GERD due to the lack of relevant randomized clinical trials [1, 3–5].

Meanwhile, the use of PPIs prior to fundoplication is quite logical and justified due to the following reasons:

- achieving the control over the symptoms and healing of esophagitis, especially its severe forms, improves the perioperative prognosis, including the stabilization of the patient's psychological status;

- no response to PPIs therapy for 8 weeks, especially with extraesophageal manifestations of GERD, is associated with low efficacy of anti-reflux surgery.

2. What is the management strategy for patients with persistent typical symptoms of GERD and/or reflux esophagitis after an 8-week course of a standard dose PPIs therapy?

Persistence of typical symptoms of GERD and/or reflux esophagitis after 8 weeks of treatment with a standard dose of PPIs requires additional examination by a gastroenterologist.

**Grade of recommendation – B;
level of evidence – 2.**

Consensus level: "A+" – 82.1 %; "A" – 12.8 %; "A–" – 2.6 %; "D–" – 0 %; "D" – 2.6 %; "D+" – 0 %.

About 40 % of patients with symptoms of GERD have incomplete or no response to PPIs therapy, which may be caused either by the presence of another etiology of reflux-like symptoms (achalasia, eosinophilic esophagitis, functional heartburn, etc.), or in case of proven GERD, by inadequate PPI dose, low compliance, specific metabolism of PPIs in a subject ("rapid metabolizer"), presence of non-acid reflux, etc. [1, 3–5].

The gold standard for GERD diagnosis in patients with persistent typical symptoms and/or reflux esophagitis after an 8-week course of a standard dose of PPI therapy is 24-h esophageal pH monitoring (pH-impedance monitoring). Esophageal pH-impedance monitoring on PPIs treatment detects true refractoriness to PPI therapy (acid exposure time (AET, time with pH < 4) > 4 %), as well as non-acidic reflux (alkaline and weakly acidic) [5].

Treatment options for PPI-refractory GERD include switching to a less dependent on isoenzyme CYP2C19 cytochrome P450 representative of the same class (rabeprazole, esomeprazole) [6], and, if necessary, administration of the higher doses of the same PPI and the use of

epithelium-protective therapy. Potassium channel blocker therapy may be promising for refractory GERD, but these drugs are currently not available in the Russian Federation.

Surgical treatment for GERD is most effective in cases of pathological reflux proven by the objective methods, exclusion of achalasia and other motor disorders of the esophagus [7]. Presence of persistent symptoms despite PPI therapy is considered as a predictor of an unsuccessful surgical outcome [8].

3. May a patient with typical symptoms of GERD (heartburn and/or regurgitation) with no preoperative diagnostic workup (endoscopy, esophageal manometry, pH monitoring/pH-impedance monitoring) be considered a candidate for anti-reflux surgery?

A patient with typical symptoms of GERD (heartburn and/or regurgitation) may be considered as a candidate for anti-reflux surgery only when the results of preoperative diagnostic procedures proved GERD (EGD, esophageal manometry, pH monitoring/pH-impedance monitoring).

**Grade of recommendation – A;
level of evidence – 2.**

Consensus level: "A+" – 79.5 %; "A" – 2.8 %; "A–" – 2.6 %; "D–" – 0 %; "D" – 2.6 %; "D+" – 2.6 %.

Typical GERD symptoms (heartburn and regurgitation) are not specific and may be present in other esophageal diseases (achalasia, eosinophilic esophagitis, functional heartburn, etc.). EGD is an objective method for diagnosis of esophageal organic disorders [9]. Pathomorphological examination of the esophageal mucosa biopsies allows excluding complicated course of the disease (Barrett's esophagus), and esophagitis of other etiologies (eosinophilic and/or infectious esophagitis, etc.). Beyond that, eosinophilic esophagitis and GERD are not mutually exclusive and can coexist [10].

There have been conflicting opinions on the issue of PPI discontinuation before the investigation. The American College of Gastroenterology and the authors of the updated Lyon Consensus 2.0 recommend to perform endoscopy 2 to 4 weeks after PPI discontinuation [11]. In contrast, ICARUS guidelines [12] suppose that PPI discontinuation is unreasonable in patients undergoing preoperative evaluation. PPI discontinuation is also impractical in patients with a previously proven GERD

(Barrett's esophagus, esophageal stricture, or erosive esophagitis B, C, and D) [11].

pH-impedance monitoring can help to prove GERD in patients with no significant esophageal mucosa breaks (erosive esophagitis stages B, C and D, Barrett's esophagus, peptic esophageal stricture). Acid exposure time in the distal esophagus > 6 % off PPI therapy or > 4 % on PPI serve a diagnostic criterion for GERD [11]. Moreover, pH-impedance monitoring reveals the association of symptoms with reflux and excludes functional esophageal disorders, in which anti-reflux surgery has no satisfactory outcomes [11, 12].

Esophageal manometry is an optional diagnostic test for the diagnosis of GERD. However, it helps to exclude esophageal motility disorders (achalasia, diffuse esophageal spasm, hypercontractile esophagus) and is mandatory for preoperative examination, according to the most international guidelines [9, 12].

4. May a patient with extraesophageal manifestations of GERD (chronic cough, laryngitis, bronchial asthma) and no preoperative examination (endoscopy, manometry, pH-impedance monitoring) be considered a candidate for anti-reflux surgery?

A patient with extraesophageal manifestations of GERD can be considered as a candidate for anti-reflux surgery only after preoperative examination (endoscopy, manometry, pH-impedance monitoring), that proved association of extraesophageal manifestations with gastroesophageal reflux according to pH-impedance results.

Grade of recommendation – C; level of evidence – 2.

Consensus level: "A+" – 89.7 %; "A" – 7.7 %; "A–" – 0 %; "D–" – 0 %; "D" – 0 %; "D+" – 2.6 %.

Interaction between chronic cough, bronchial asthma, laryngopharyngeal disorders and GERD is complex [13, 14]. There is no established diagnostic gold standard for laryngopharyngeal and pulmonary manifestations of GERD. Available methods (EGD, laryngoscopy, bronchoscopy, pH-impedance monitoring, esophageal manometry, etc.) are of low sensitivity and specificity [15]. The presence of extraesophageal manifestations in the lack of typical GERD symptoms has a low likelihood. The outcomes of antireflux therapy for extraesophageal symptoms are controversial [16, 17], and the success rates range from 15 to 95 %. Anti-reflux surgery shows low

efficacy in patients with isolated extraesophageal symptoms. The predictors for a favorable response to surgical treatment are the presence of typical reflux symptoms, prior response to PPI treatment, and confirmed acid reflux (AET > 6 %) by pH monitoring [18–21].

In extraesophageal manifestations of GERD, anti-reflux surgery should be considered if pathological reflux is proved by pH-impedance monitoring and esophageal motility disorders are excluded based on esophageal manometry data.

5. May a patient with typical GERD symptoms (heartburn and/or regurgitation) with a good response to PPIs be considered a candidate for anti-reflux surgery?

A patient with typical GERD symptoms (heartburn and/or regurgitation) who responds to PPIs therapy may be considered as a candidate for anti-reflux surgery. The diagnosis of GERD should be confirmed by pH monitoring/pH-impedance monitoring without PPI therapy. Patients with typical symptoms and good response to PPI therapy demonstrate the best surgical outcome.

Grade of recommendation – B; level of evidence – 2.

Consensus level: "A+" – 61.5 %; "A" – 17.9 %; "A–" – 7.7 %; "D–" – 7.7 %; "D" – 5.1 %; "D+" – 0 %.

PPIs are the gold standard treatment for GERD [22]. According to a meta-analysis of 59 studies ($n = 26,885$), PPI therapy for 4 weeks resulted in complete relief of heartburn in patients with both erosive (OR = 0.72; 95% CI: 0.69–0.74) and non-erosive forms of the disease (OR = 0.49; 95% CI: 0.44–0.55) [23]. According to these data, the best candidates for anti-reflux surgery should be patients with GERD who cannot receive conservative therapy for any reason, as well as subjects with casuistically rare adverse events on antisecretory therapy [24]. In this case, GERD should be proved by pH monitoring/pH-impedance monitoring performed off PPIs therapy. The best response to surgery is observed in patients with typical GERD symptoms (heartburn and/or regurgitation) and a good response to PPIs therapy, which should be taken into account when surgical intervention is considered [25].

6. May a patient with erosive esophagitis (grade A) according to the Los Angeles (LA) classification considered as

a candidate for anti-reflux surgery with no preoperative examinations (manometry, pH monitoring/pH-impedance monitoring)?

A patient with reflux esophagitis (grade A) according to the LA classification may be considered as a candidate for anti-reflux surgery only after preoperative examinations (manometry, pH monitoring/pH-impedance monitoring) proved GERD.

**Grade of recommendation – B;
level of evidence – 2.**

Consensus level: “A+” – 66.7 %; “A” – 20.5 %; “A–” – 10.3 %; “D–” – 0 %; “D” – 2.6 %; “D+” – 0 %.

Identification of esophageal mucosal breaks on endoscopy allows suspecting GERD, but it is not always a reliable criterion for the diagnosis. Recent studies have demonstrated that single and small esophageal erosions (grade A according to LA classification) can be detected in 5–7.5 % of cases in patients without any clinical manifestations and any signs of pathological reflux [26], while more severe grades (B, C, D) of esophagitis in healthy individuals are almost never found [27].

At the same time, some patients with erosive esophagitis may have clinical manifestations caused by functional esophageal disorders, therefore it is reasonable to conduct functional examination (pH monitoring, pH-impedance monitoring, manometry) prior to anti-reflux surgery to verify the presence of pathological gastroesophageal reflux and exclude other causes underlying the symptoms [11]. These methods are essential in refractory GERD and when extraesophageal manifestations of the disease are present [28–32].

High-resolution esophageal manometry is not the primary diagnostic test for GERD but may be useful when no convincing features of the disease are detected on endoscopy [33–35]. According to the updated Lyon Consensus 2.0, such criteria include detection of a hiatal hernia (HH), hypotensive lower esophageal sphincter, ineffective esophageal motility, and absent contractility [11].

7. May a patient with erosive esophagitis of grades B, C and D according to LA classification be considered as a candidate for anti-reflux surgery with no preoperative examinations (manometry, pH monitoring/pH-impedance monitoring)?

Reflux esophagitis of grades B, C and D according to LA classification is a reliable criterion

for GERD. In such a case, anti-reflux surgery may be considered, as well as conservative therapy, after esophageal manometry.

**Grade of recommendation – B;
level of evidence – 2.**

Consensus level: “A+” – 76.9 %; “A” – 15.4 %; “A–” – 5.1 %; “D–” – 0 %; “D” – 2.6 %; “D+” – 0 %.

According to the updated Lyon Consensus 2.0 esophagitis of grades B, C or D serve as conclusive evidence of GERD and pH-impedance monitoring is not required [11]. However, when anti-reflux surgery is considered, additional examinations (manometry) are reasonable.

8. May a patient with peptic esophageal stricture complicating GERD be considered as a candidate for anti-reflux surgery with no preoperative examinations (manometry, pH monitoring/pH-impedance monitoring)?

A patient with peptic esophageal stricture complicating GERD is considered as a candidate for anti-reflux surgery after esophageal manometry.

**Grade of recommendation – B;
level of evidence – 2.**

Consensus level: “A+” – 69.2 %; “A” – 25.6 %; “A–” – 2.6 %; “D–” – 0 %; “D” – 2.6 %; “D+” – 0 %.

Esophageal stricture is an esophageal manifestation of GERD [1, 9, 11, 12]. National clinical guidelines [1], recommend endoscopic examination with biopsy for patients with complications of GERD (strictures) followed by histological examination to exclude eosinophilic esophagitis, Barrett's esophagus and adenocarcinoma.

According to the Lyon Consensus, in patients with proved GERD (including peptic stricture) and persistent symptoms on PPIs, reflux monitoring should be performed on PPI treatment [11]. Manometry helps to assess lower esophageal sphincter pressure and detect esophageal motility disorders, which has high prognostic value and impacts subsequent treatment. However, pH-impedance monitoring and esophageal manometry may be technically challenging in the presence of dense strictures [36].

9. May a patient with Barrett's esophagus without dysplasia be considered as a candidate for anti-reflux surgery with no preoperative examination (manometry, pH monitoring/pH-impedance monitoring)?

Barrett's esophagus is a reliable criterion for GERD. A patient with Barrett's esophagus without dysplasia, confirmed by an experienced morphologist along with the conservative therapy, may be offered anti-reflux surgery after esophageal manometry.

**Grade of recommendation – B;
level of evidence – 3.**

Consensus level: "A+" – 74.4 %; "A" – 23.1 %; "A–" – 0 %; "D–" – 0 %; "D" – 2.6 %; "D+" – 0 %.

According to the Russian clinical guidelines for Barrett's esophagus, anti-reflux surgery does not shorten the length of the Barrett's esophagus segment, does not reduce the risk of adenocarcinoma, and in most cases does not lead to the complete discontinuation of antisecretory therapy [37].

Clinical guidelines on GERD diagnosis and treatment consider complicated course of GERD (Barrett's esophagus with high-grade epithelial dysplasia proved by two morphologists) as an indication for anti-reflux surgery [1].

10. Which method of reflux monitoring is preferable prior to anti-reflux surgery?

The pH-impedance monitoring is more accurate than pH monitoring for GERD diagnosis and for differential diagnosis between GERD and functional heartburn, therefore the pH-impedance monitoring test is preferable in preoperative examination if available.

**Grade of recommendation – B;
level of evidence – 3.**

Consensus level: "A+" – 84.6 %; "A" – 15.4 %; "A–" – 0 %; "D–" – 0 %; "D" – 0 %; "D+" – 0 %.

In patients with GERD pH monitoring/pH-impedance monitoring are recommended prior to surgical treatment [1].

The data obtained during pH monitoring (acid exposure time in the distal esophagus, a number of GERs, association of symptoms (heartburn)) with gastroesophageal reflux, help to prove or exclude GERD [38].

Patients with heartburn and/or regurgitation, chest pain, sore throat, or respiratory manifestations (cough, hoarseness, tickle in the throat, etc.) with no response to PPI should undergo esophageal pH-impedance monitoring, not just pH monitoring, to confirm abnormal acid exposure, presence of weak-acid or non-acid refluxes, their proximal extent, and/or association of symptoms and refluxes prior to anti-reflux surgery.

If a patient poorly tolerates trans-nasal catheter, then capsule pH-metry may be an option; in this case, 96-hour monitoring test is more informative (currently, it is unavailable in Russia) [11].

11. What is the role of esophageal manometry in preoperative workup?

Esophageal manometry helps to exclude major disorders of peristalsis, accurately locate lower esophageal sphincter and assess its function. Esophageal manometry allows precise positioning of the catheter for pH- or pH-impedance monitoring.

**Grade of recommendation – C;
level of evidence – 3.**

Consensus level: "A+" – 84.6 %; "A" – 10.3 %; "A–" – 2.6 %; "D–" – 2.6 %, "D" – 0 %; "D+" – 0 %.

High-resolution esophageal manometry is recommended for all patients prior to anti-reflux surgery. This test, especially combined with impedance measurement (high-resolution esophageal impedance-manometry) plays a crucial role in exclusion of GERD-mimicking conditions, such as rumination syndrome, supragastric belch etc. [39].

Manometry demonstrates higher sensitivity, specificity, and both positive and negative predictive values compared to X-ray examination and endoscopy in diagnosis of axial HH [40].

Hypercontractile esophagus, esophageal spasm (primary, non-GERD-related), esophago-gastric junction outflow obstruction, and achalasia confirmed by high-resolution esophageal manometry are contraindications to anti-reflux surgical interventions. For patients with absent peristalsis, which is a relative contraindication for surgical treatment, multiple rapid swallows test is recommended to evaluate the esophageal contraction reserve [41–43].

Moreover, esophageal manometry provides valuable guidance in selecting between partial and complete fundoplication to minimize the risk of postoperative dysphagia. For patients with absent peristalsis, ineffective esophageal motility, or absent contraction reserve, 270-degrees partial fundoplication by Toupet is preferable to 360-degree complete fundoplication by Nissen [44–46].

Esophageal manometry facilitates accurate location of the upper border of lower esophageal sphincter (LES), which is critical for appropriate esophageal pH probe placement and enhancing the diagnostic accuracy and reliability of pH and pH-impedance monitoring.

12. Is classical multipositional radiographic evaluation of the upper gastrointestinal tract mandatory before anti-reflux surgery?

Classical multipositional radiographic evaluation of the upper gastrointestinal tract is deemed essential before surgery, as it enables the identification and classification of hiatal hernias, registration of reflux, detection of esophageal motility disorders, and assessment of pyloric and duodenal patency.

Grade of recommendation – C;
level of evidence – 4.

Consensus level: “A+” – 89.7 %; “A” – 10.3 %; “A–” – 0 %; “D–” – 0 %; “D” – 0 %; “D+” – 0 %.

Classical multipositional radiographic evaluation of the upper gastrointestinal tract is considered mandatory prior to surgery, as it remains one of the most crucial methods for diagnosing HH [47, 48]. This imaging technique allows for the detection and characterization of HH, including its type, size, and degree of fixation [49], as well as the identification of gastric volvulus, measurement of esophageal hiatus width [50–54], evaluation of esophageal strictures, assessment of esophageal shortening, and identification of diverticula. Additionally, it facilitates the evaluation of pyloric and duodenal patency, which is particularly critical in the postoperative period and before reoperation [55–58].

13. Can computed tomography data replace classical multipositional radiographic evaluation of the upper gastrointestinal tract?

Computed tomography cannot replace classical multipositional radiographic evaluation of the upper gastrointestinal tract.

Grade of recommendation – C;
level of evidence – 4.

Consensus level: “A+” – 84.6 %; “A” – 10.3 %; “A–” – 0 %; “D–” – 0 %; “D” – 0 %; “D+” – 5.1 %.

Compared to classical multipositional radiographic evaluation, computed tomography (CT) has limitations in identifying HH, determining their true size, and classifying their type, primarily because it is conducted exclusively in the supine horizontal position. Additionally, CT does not allow for the assessment of esophageal shortening, the presence of pathological reflux, or motility disorders in the upper

gastrointestinal tract. In cases of small HH, CT may lack diagnostic value.

CT is primarily indicated for the differential diagnosis of cardioesophageal neoplasms and HH, as well as assessing the extent of tumor-like lesions and their spread to adjacent anatomical structures [59–61]. Furthermore, CT's cross-sectional imaging capabilities provide detailed visualization of structures like the esophageal hiatus and diaphragmatic crura, offering essential insights for a more precise assessment of their condition [62], and enabling the identification of other organs within the hernial sac, such as the small or large intestine, omentum, pancreas, spleen, or the left lobe of the liver [51, 52, 62].

14. Is anti-reflux surgery an effective approach to prevent the progression of Barrett's esophagus to adenocarcinoma?

Surgical management of GERD does not reduce the incidence of adenocarcinoma and should not be considered an antineoplastic approach for patients with Barrett's esophagus.

Grade of recommendation – B;
level of evidence – 3.

Consensus level: “A+” – 61.5 %; “A” – 23.1 %; “A–” – 2.6 %; “D–” – 5.1 %; “D” – 2.6 %; “D+” – 5.1 %.

Evidence from prior studies suggests that while anti-reflux surgery reduces the risk of progression from columnar cell metaplasia to esophageal adenocarcinoma, it does not completely eliminate this risk, which remains significantly higher than in the general population [63]. As a result, anti-reflux surgery cannot be regarded as a definitive treatment for Barrett's esophagus in GERD patients. Ongoing monitoring and sustained PPI therapy are essential for patients with columnar cell metaplasia who have undergone anti-reflux surgery [63].

15. What is the appropriate sequence of treatment methods for Barrett's esophagus requiring both endoscopic and surgical interventions?

When both endoscopic and surgical interventions are indicated for Barrett's esophagus, the endoscopic intervention should be performed prior to anti-reflux surgery.

Grade of recommendation – C;
level of evidence – 5.

Consensus level: “A+” – 69.2 %; “A” – 15.4 %; “A–” – 2.6 %; “D–” – 7.7 %; “D” – 2.6 %; “D+” – 2.6 %.

Since anti-reflux surgery is not regarded as the standard treatment for patients with Barrett's esophagus, this topic is seldom addressed in specialized literature. The authors of this consensus concluded that surgical intervention modifies the anatomy of the esophago-gastric junction, significantly compromising the visualization and accessibility of the metaplastic mucosal segment during endoscopic procedures, which may result in reduced treatment efficacy or an increased need for staged interventions.

16. Is anti-reflux surgery indicated for patients with a sliding hiatal hernia?

A sliding hiatal hernia alone does not constitute an indication for anti-reflux surgery.

Grade of recommendation — B;
level of evidence — 3.

Consensus level: "A+" — 92.3 %; "A" — 5.1 %; "A—" — 0 %; "D—" — 0 %; "D" — 2.6 %; "D+" — 0 %.

Surgical reconstruction or anti-reflux surgery is not required for a sliding HH that is not associated with GERD symptoms [64, 65]. The presence of GERD, rather than the hernia itself, serves as the indication for anti-reflux surgery, but if surgery is indicated, hernia repair should be included as part of the procedure [66].

17. Does diffuse esophageal spasm affect the treatment approach in patients planned for anti-reflux surgery?

Anti-reflux surgery is not advised for patients with diffuse esophageal spasm.

Grade of recommendation — B;
level of evidence — 4.

Consensus level: "A+" — 69.2 %; "A" — 17.9 %; "A—" — 5.1 %; "D—" — 0 %; "D" — 7.7 %; "D+" — 0 %.

Diffuse esophageal spasm (or distal esophageal spasm) is defined as the presence of $\geq 20\%$ premature contractions (distal latency < 4.5 seconds), in the setting of a distal contractile integral $> 450 \text{ mmHg} \times \text{s} \times \text{cm}$ in patients with normal integrated relaxation pressure, based on high-resolution esophageal manometry data [42]. According to the International Consensus regarding preoperative examinations and clinical characteristics assessment to select adult patients for anti-reflux surgery, 64 % of experts agree that such patients are not suitable for surgical intervention [12].

18. Does ineffective esophageal motility affect the approach to treatment?

Depending on its severity, ineffective esophageal motility may present significant challenges to anti-reflux surgery, with the criteria for selecting the type of fundoplication remaining uncertain.

Grade of recommendation — B;
level of evidence — 4.

Consensus level: "A+" — 74.4 %; "A" — 17.9 %; "A—" — 2.6 %; "D—" — 5.1 %; "D" — 0 %; "D+" — 0 %.

Effective esophageal clearance relies on peristaltic (propulsive) contractions, while non-peristaltic (non-propulsive) contractions are ineffective, resulting in prolonged exposure of esophageal mucosa to acidic gastric content and subsequent mucosal injury [67]. Studies have established a relationship between acid exposure and disturbed esophageal motility [68].

The widely accepted perspective today is that impaired esophageal peristalsis plays a primary role in the pathogenesis of GERD [69]. Accordingly, some authors recommend partial fundoplication for patients with ineffective esophageal motility due to the high risk of post-operative dysphagia.

Ineffective esophageal motility and GERD may be secondary to systemic diseases affecting esophageal innervation, such as scleroderma and diabetes mellitus, with progressive dysphagia after anti-reflux surgery potentially reflecting the progression of these underlying conditions [70].

19. In carefully selected patients following thorough evaluation, are the outcomes of surgical treatment for GERD equivalent to those of medical therapy?

Surgical and conservative treatments for GERD demonstrate comparable effectiveness. Surgical intervention should be performed in specialized centers and only after a comprehensive evaluation with a gastroenterologist to confirm the GERD diagnosis.

Grade of recommendation — A;
level of evidence — 2.

Consensus level: "A+" — 82.1 %; "A" — 12.8 %; "A—" — 5.1 %; "D—" — 0 %; "D" — 0 %; "D+" — 0 %.

Current clinical guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of GERD recommend surgery for patients experiencing a complicated disease

course or for those with uncomplicated GERD when medical treatment is not an option [1].

According to the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG), surgical or endoscopic intervention for refractory GERD should be carried out by skilled surgeons in specialized centers after thorough evaluation. Surgical indications include severe reflux esophagitis (Los Angeles grades C or D), large HH exceeding 2 cm, and/or persistent and troubling GERD symptoms. While anti-reflux surgery carries a risk of serious complications, most patients experience favorable long-term outcomes after fundoplication, with satisfaction levels surpassing those seen with lifelong medical therapy [9].

Reflecting the emphasis on personalized GERD management, the American Gastroenterological Association (AGA) has developed clinical guidelines. Invasive anti-reflux procedures require prior confirmation of GERD, exclusion of achalasia, and evaluation of esophageal peristaltic function. Laparoscopic fundoplication and magnetic augmentation of the lower esophageal sphincter are effective surgical treatments for patients with confirmed GERD, whereas transoral incisionless fundoplication is a viable endoscopic option for well-selected patients [5].

As reported in a 2021 systematic review and meta-analysis, 28 % of patients who underwent anti-reflux surgery required continued PPI therapy [71].

In 2022, the European Association for Endoscopic Surgery (EAES) and the United European Gastroenterology (UEG) society issued recommendations for the surgical management of GERD, based on accumulated expertise and systematic reviews of anti-reflux interventions. Experts recommended partial posterior fundoplication as the optimal anti-reflux surgical technique, given its lower risk of short-term complications and dysphagia. Additionally, it may reduce the chances of severe complications and reoperation relative to the commonly performed total posterior fundoplication [72]. Endoscopic techniques, including magnetic sphincter augmentation and transoral incisionless fundoplication (TIF 2.0), demonstrate superior outcomes compared to PPI monotherapy [73].

The current primary approach to GERD management involves lifestyle modifications and medical therapy. For cases of refractory GERD, large hiatal hernias, or medication intolerance, additional evaluation is necessary to determine whether surgical or endoscopic intervention could improve symptoms. Minimally invasive fundoplication is the preferred surgical option. In patients with a BMI < 35, a small or absent

hiatal hernia, normal esophageal motility, and confirmed GERD, magnetic sphincter augmentation is a viable consideration. Endoscopic approaches such as the STRETTA radiofrequency method or TIF 2.0 should be considered for patients unwilling to undergo fundoplication or magnetic sphincter augmentation. Meta-analyses suggest that while endoscopic therapy is superior to medical management in the short term, it is less effective than surgery in the long term [74].

Not all of the outlined surgical correction options are currently available in the Russian Federation. Fundoplication is the most prevalent technique.

20. Can disease recurrence and the need for long-term PPI therapy occur following surgical treatment of GERD?

Disease recurrence and the need for prolonged PPI therapy may affect some patients following surgical treatment for GERD.

**Grade of recommendation – A;
level of evidence – 1.**

Consensus level: "A+" – 94.9 %; "A" – 2.6 %; "A–" – 2.6 %; "D–" – 0 %; "D" – 0 %; "D+" – 0 %.

Recurrence of gastroesophageal reflux disease after different types of fundoplication can result from several factors. Key contributors include undiagnosed esophageal shortening, obesity, and increased intra-abdominal pressure due to vomiting, coughing, straining, or abdominal trauma [74, 75].

Analysis of long-term outcomes for the most commonly performed procedures, such as Nissen and Toupet fundoplication, indicates that as many as 62 % of patients continue using antisecretory drugs to control reflux symptoms [76]. According to the literature, partial fundoplication (e.g., Toupet) or procedures like esophagofundoraphy are less effective at correcting pathological reflux compared to complete 360° fundoplication [77, 78]. However, complete wraps (360°) are linked to a higher incidence of dysphagia [74].

Analysis of postoperative complications indicates that previous abdominal surgeries, female sex, obesity, low socioeconomic status, and normal preoperative pH monitoring results (suggesting no pathological GERD) are predictive factors for GERD symptom recurrence [79, 80].

Long-term studies on laparoscopic surgeries for hiatal hernia have demonstrated a high incidence of anatomical recurrences, observed in

5–60 % of patients who underwent the procedure [81–85]. The complication rate varies by the type of hernia: cardia-fundal hernias (type 3, according to the SAGES classification) show rates of up to 11.1 %, whereas giant hernias (type 4) report the highest complication rate at 23.3 % [86].

Anti-reflux surgery performed by an experienced and highly skilled surgeon in a specialized hospital for uncomplicated reflux esophagitis achieves positive outcomes in 80–95 % of patients [87–90]. By contrast, surgeries performed in private centers by less experienced surgeons result in success rates of only 40–50 % within the first postoperative year [7].

The most frequently observed complications include wrap slippage, migration of the wrap into the mediastinum (resulting in a double-chamber stomach), partial or complete unraveling of the wrap caused by suture failure and wraps that are either overly tight or excessively long [85, 90–93].

Conclusion

Gastroesophageal reflux disease is highly prevalent in Russia [94] and worldwide [95],

that makes development of optimal treatment approaches actual. Leading Russian experts of various specialties, whose professional interests are related to the treatment of patients with GERD, including surgery and endoscopic technologies, have been involved in the development of the current Consensus. The key statements of the Consensus were publicly discussed at the 50th Scientific Conference "Consensus is the basis for Clinical Guidelines" held by Central Research Institute of Gastroenterology on March 1, 2024, and the final version of Consensus was adopted taking into consideration the consensus level reached according to the Delphi method.

The Consensus is a document demonstrating the most complete and comprehensive analysis of available Russian and international data specifying the indications and criteria for the selection of patients with GERD for surgical treatment, considering both the extent of necessary pre-operative investigations and the most complex clinical cases, including extraesophageal manifestations of the disease, incomplete response/lack of response to PPI therapy. Implementation of the Consensus statements will facilitate proper and optimal selection of patients to improve the efficacy of surgical treatment of GERD.

References / Литература

- Ivashkin V.T., Trukhmanov A.S., Maev I.V., Drapkina O.M., Livzan M.A., Martynov A.I. и др. Диагностика и лечение гастроэзофагеальной рефлюксной болезни (Рекомендации Российской гастроэнтерологической ассоциации, Российской научного медицинского общества терапевтов, Российского общества профилактики неинфекционных заболеваний, Научного сообщества по изучению микробиома человека). *Российский журнал гастроэнтерологии, гепатологии, колопроктологии*. 2024;34(5):111–35. [Ivashkin V.T., Trukhmanov A.S., Maev I.V., Drapkina O.M., Livzan M.A., Martynov A.I., et al. Diagnosis and treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease (Clinical Guidelines of the Russian Gastroenterological Association, Russian Scientific Medical Society of Internal Medicine, Russian Society for the Prevention of Noncommunicable Diseases, Scientific Community for Human Microbiome Research). *Russian Journal of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Coloproctology*. 2024;34(5):111–35. (In Russ.)]. DOI: 10.22416/1382-4376-2024-34-5-111-135]
- Linstone H., Turoff M. The Delphi method: Techniques and applications. URL: <http://www.is.njit.edu/pubs/delphibook>
- Chen J.W., Vela M.F., Peterson K.A., Carlson D.A. AGA clinical practice update on the diagnosis and management of extraesophageal gastroesophageal reflux disease: Expert review. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2023;21(6):1414–21. e3. DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2023.01.040
- Yadlapati R., Vaezi M.F., Vela M.F., Spechler S.J., Shaheen N.J., Richter J., et al. Management options for patients with GERD and persistent symptoms on proton pump inhibitors: Recommendations from an expert panel. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2018;113(7):980–6. DOI: 10.1038/s41395-018-0045-4
- Yadlapati R., Gyawali C.P., Pandolfino J.E.; CGIT-GERD Consensus Conference Participants. AGA clinical practice update on the personalized approach to the evaluation and management of GERD: Expert review. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2022;20(5):984–94.e1. DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2022.01.025
- Ranaldo N., Losurdo G., Iannone A., Principi M., Barone M., De Carne M., et al. Tailored therapy guided by multichannel intraluminal impedance pH monitoring for refractory non-erosive reflux disease. *Cell Death Dis*. 2017;8(9):e3040. DOI: 10.1038/cddis.2017.436
- Schwab M., Klotz U., Hofmann U., Schaeffeler E., Leodolter A., Malfertheiner P., et al. Esomeprazole-induced healing of gastroesophageal reflux disease is unrelated to the genotype of CYP2C19: Evidence from clinical and pharmacokinetic data. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2005;78(6):627–34. DOI: 10.1016/j.clpt.2005.08.017
- Abbasinazari M., Panahi Y., Mortazavi S.A., Fahimi F., Valizadegan G., Mohtashami R., et al. Effect of a combination of omeprazole plus sustained release baclofen versus omeprazole alone on symptoms of patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). *Iran J Pharm Res*. 2014;13(4):1221–6.
- Katz P.O., Dunbar K.B., Schnoll-Sussman F.H., Greer K.B., Yadlapati R., Spechler S.J. ACG clinical guideline for the diagnosis and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2022;117(1):27–56. DOI: 10.14309/ajg.00000000000001538
- Dhar A., Haboubi H.N., Attwood S.E., Auth M.K.H., Dunn J.M., Sweis R., et al. British Society of Gastroenterology (BSG) and British Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (BSPGHAN) joint consensus guidelines on the diagnosis and management of eosinophilic oesophagitis in children and adults. *Gut*. 2022;71(8):1459–87. DOI: 10.1136/gut-jnl-2022-327326
- Gyawali C.P., Yadlapati R., Fass R., Katzka D., Pandolfino J., Savarino E., et al. Updates to the modern diag-

- nosis of GERD: Lyon consensus 2.0. *Gut.* 2024;73(5):361–71. DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2023-330616
12. Pauwels A., Boecxstaens V., Andrews C.N., Attwood S.E., Berrixford R., Bisschops R., et al. How to select patients for antireflux surgery? The ICARUS guidelines (international consensus regarding preoperative examinations and clinical characteristics assessment to select adult patients for antireflux surgery). *Gut.* 2019;68(11):1928–41. DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2019-318260
 13. Durazzo M., Lupi G., Cicerchia F., Ferro A., Barutta F., Beccuti G., et al. Extra-esophageal presentation of gastroesophageal reflux disease: 2020 update. *J Clin Med.* 2020;9(8):2559. DOI: 10.3390/jcm9082559
 14. Cho J.H., Shin C.M., Yoon H., Park Y.S., Kim N., Lee D.H. Efficacy of a high-dose proton pump inhibitor in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease: A single center, randomized, open-label trial. *BMC Gastroenterol.* 2020;20(1):275. DOI: 10.1186/s12876-020-01410-z
 15. Janiak M. Itopride in treatment of laryngopharyngeal symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Otolaryngol Pol.* 2021;75(6):38–44. DOI: 10.5604/01.3001.0015.5165
 16. Sidwa F., Moore A.L., Alligood E., Fischella P.M. Surgical treatment of extraesophageal manifestations of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *World J Surg.* 2017;41(10):2566–71. DOI: 10.1007/s00268-017-4058-8
 17. Lechien J.R., Dapri G., Dequanter D., Rodriguez Ruiz A., Marechal M.T., De Marrez L.G., et al. Surgical treatment for laryngopharyngeal reflux disease: A systematic review. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2019;145(7):655–66. DOI: 10.1001/jamaoto.2019.0315
 18. Salminen P., Karvonen J., Ovaska J. Long-term outcomes after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication for reflux laryngitis. *Dig Surg.* 2010;27(6):509–14. DOI: 10.1159/000321883
 19. Krill J.T., Naik R.D., Higginbotham T., Slaughter J.C., Holzman M.D., Francis D.O., et al. Association between response to acid-suppression therapy and efficacy of antireflux surgery in patients with extraesophageal reflux. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2017;15(5):675–81. DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2016.10.031
 20. Bell R., Lipham J., Louie B., Williams V., Luketich J., Hill M., et al. Laparoscopic magnetic sphincter augmentation versus doubledose proton pump inhibitors for management of moderate-to-severe regurgitation in GERD: A randomized controlled trial. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 2019;89(1):14–22.e1. DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2018.07.007
 21. Gawron A.J., Bell R., Abu Dayyeh B.K., Buckley F.P., Chang K., Dunst C.M., et al. Surgical and endoscopic management options for patients with GERD based on proton pump inhibitor symptom response: Recommendations from an expert U.S. panel. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 2020;92(1):78–87.e2. DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2020.01.037
 22. Ratcliffe E.G., Jankowski J.A. Gastroesophageal reflux disease and Barrett esophagus: An overview of evidence-based guidelines. *Pol Arch Intern Med.* 2019;129(7–8):516–25. DOI: 10.20452/pamw.14828
 23. Bulsiewicz W.J., Madanick R.D. Antireflux surgery in the proton pump inhibitor era. *Cleve Clin J Med.* 2012;79(4):273–81. DOI: 10.3949/ccjm.79a.11097
 24. Lundell L., Bell M., Ruth M. Systematic review: Laparoscopic fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease in partial responders to proton pump inhibitors. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2014;20(3):804–13. DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i3.804
 25. Shukla R.N., Myers J.C., Thompson S.K. Choosing the right patient for laparoscopic fundoplication: A narrative review of preoperative predictors. *Ann Esophagus.* 2022;5:39.
 26. Rengarajan A., Pomarat M., Zerbib F., Gyawali C.P. Overlap of functional heartburn and reflux hypersensitivity with proven gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Neurogastroenterol Motil.* 2021;33(6):e14056. DOI: 10.1111/nmo.14056
 27. Rusu R.I., Fox M.R., Tucker E., Zeki S., Dunn J.M., Jafari J., et al. Validation of the Lyon classification for GORD diagnosis: Acid exposure time assessed by prolonged wireless pH-monitoring in healthy controls and patients with erosive oesophagitis. *Gut.* 2021;70(12):2230–7. DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-323798
 28. Andolfi C., Bonavina L., Kavitt R.T., Konda V.J., Asti E., Patti M.G. Importance of esophageal manometry and pH monitoring in the evaluation of patients with refractory gastroesophageal reflux disease: A multicenter study. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A.* 2016;26(7):548–50. DOI: 10.1089/lap.2016.0189
 29. Gomez Cifuentes J., Lopez R., Thota P.N. Factors predictive of gastroesophageal reflux disease and esophageal motility disorders in patients with non-cardiac chest pain. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 2018;53(6):643–9. DOI: 10.1080/00365521.2018.1452975
 30. Herregods T.V., Troelstra M., Weijenborg P.W., Bredenoord A.J., Smout A.J. Patients with refractory reflux symptoms often do not have GERD. *Neurogastroenterol Motil.* 2015;27(9):1267–73. DOI: 10.1111/nmo.12620
 31. Shi Y., Tan N., Zhang N., Xiong L., Peng S., Lin J., et al. Predictors of proton pump inhibitor failure in non-erosive reflux disease: A study with impedance-pH monitoring and high-resolution manometry. *Neurogastroenterol Motil.* 2016;28(5):674–9. DOI: 10.1111/nmo.12763
 32. Yadlapati R., Tye M., Roman S., Kahrilas P.J., Ritter K., Pandolfini J.E. Postprandial high-resolution impedance manometry identifies mechanisms of nonresponse to proton pump inhibitors. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2018;16(2):211–8.e1. DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2017.09.011
 33. Gyawali C.P., Roman S., Bredenoord A.J., Fox M., Keller J., Pandolfini J.E., et al.; International GERD Consensus Working Group. Classification of esophageal motor findings in gastro-esophageal reflux disease: Conclusions from an international consensus group. *Neurogastroenterol Motil.* 2017;29:e13104. DOI: 10.1111/nmo.13104
 34. Savarino E., Bredenoord A.J., Fox M., Pandolfini J.E., Roman S., Gyawali C.P.; International Working Group for Disorders of Gastrointestinal Motility and Function. Expert consensus document: Advances in the physiological assessment and diagnosis of GERD. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2017;14(11):665–76. DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2017.130
 35. Patel A., Posner S., Gyawali C.P. Esophageal high-resolution manometry in gastroesophageal reflux disease. *JAMA.* 2018;320(12):1279–80. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2018.8694
 36. Holzheimer R.G., Mannick J.A. (eds). *Surgical treatment: Evidence-based and problem-oriented.* Munich: Zuckschwerdt; 2001.
 37. Клинические рекомендации: Пищевод Барретта. М., 2014. [Clinical guidelines: Barrett's esophagus. Moscow, 2014. (In Russ.)]. URL: https://www.gastro.ru/user-files/R_Barret_14.pdf
 38. Yodice M., Mignucci A., Shah V., Ashley C., Tadros M. Preoperative physiological esophageal assessment for anti-reflux surgery: A guide for surgeons on high-resolution manometry and pH testing. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2021;27(16):1751–69. DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v27.i16.1751
 39. Yadlapati R., Tye M., Roman S., Kahrilas P.J., Ritter K., Pandolfini J.E. Postprandial high-resolution impedance manometry identifies mechanisms of nonresponse to proton pump inhibitors. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2018;16(2):211–8.e1. DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2017.09.011
 40. Tolone S., Savarino E., Zaninotto G., Gyawali C.P., Frazzoni M., de Bortoli N., et al. High-resolution manometry is superior to endoscopy and radiology in assessing and grading sliding hiatal hernia: A comparison with surgical *in vivo* evaluation. *United European Gastroenterol J.* 2018;6(7):981–9. DOI: 10.1177/205064061879160
 41. Jandee S., Keeratichananont S., Tack J., Vanuytsel T. Concise review: Applicability of high-resolution manometry in gastroesophageal reflux disease. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil.* 2022;28(4):531–9. DOI: 10.5056/jnm22082
 42. Ивашин В.Т., Маев И.В., Трухманов А.С., Сторонова О.А., Абдулхаков С.Р., Андреев Д.Н. и др. Рекомендации Российской гастроэнтерологической ассоциации

- ции по клиническому применению манометрии высокого разрешения при заболеваниях пищевода. *Российский журнал гастроэнтерологии, гепатологии, колопроктологии.* 2020;30(3):61–88. [Ivashkin V.T., Mayev I.V., Trukhmanov A.S., Storanova O.A., Abdulkhakov S.A., Andreev D.N., et al. Recommendations of the Russian Gastroenterological Association on clinical use of high-resolution manometry in diagnosis of esophageal disorders. *Russian Journal of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Coloproctology.* 2020;30(3):61–88. (In Russ.)]. DOI: 10.22416/1382-4376-2020-30-3-61-88
43. Carlson D.A., Roman S. Esophageal provocation tests: Are they useful to improve diagnostic yield of high resolution manometry? *Neurogastroenterol Motil.* 2018;30(4): e13321. DOI: 10.1111/nmo.13321
44. Slater B.J., Collings A., Dirks R., Gould J.C., Qureshi A.P., Juza R., et al. Multi-society consensus conference and guideline on the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). *Surg Endosc.* 2023;37(2):781–806. DOI: 10.1007/s00464-022-09817-3
45. Garbarino S., Horton A., Patel A. The utility of esophageal motility testing in gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). *Curr Gastroenterol Rep.* 2019;21(8):37. DOI: 10.1007/s11894-019-0704-7
46. Park S., Weg R., Enslin S., Kaul V. Ten things every gastroenterologist should know about antireflux surgery. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2020;18(9):1923–9. DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2020.02.041
47. Allen B.C., Baker M.E., Falk G.W. Barium esophagography reply. *Cleve Clin J Med.* 2009;76(4):218.
48. Peters J.H. SAGES guidelines for the management of hiatal hernia. *Surg Endosc.* 2013;27(12):4407–8. DOI: 10.1007/s00464-013-3212-0
49. Gordon C., Kang J.Y., Neild P.J., Maxwell J.D. The role of the hiatus hernia in gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2004;20(7):719–32. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2004.02149.x
50. Mittal S.K., Awad Z.T., Tasset M., Filipi C.J., Dickason T.J., Shinno Y., et al. The preoperative predictability of the short esophagus in patients with stricture or paraesophageal hernia. *Surg Endosc.* 2000;14:464–8. DOI: 10.1007/s004640020023
51. Синайская Е.И., Ромар С.Р., Клименко Г.А. Возможности рентгеноскопии в диагностике грыжи пищеводного отверстия диафрагмы. *Бюллетень медицинских интернет-конференций.* 2013;3(11):1312. [Sinaiskaya E.I., Rotar S.R., Klimenko G.A. Possibilities of fluoroscopy in diagnostics of hernia of the esophageal opening of the diaphragm. *Bulletin of Medical Internet Conferences.* 2013;3(11):1312. (In Russ.)].
52. Япарова Е.Д., Пантелеймонова И.Л., Романюха И.В. Некоторые особенности рентгенологической картины скользящих (аксиальных) грыж пищеводного отверстия диафрагмы и их диагностика у пациентов с гастроэзофагеальной рефлюксной болезнью. Здоровье. Медицинская экология. Наука. 2012;47(1–2):127–30. [Yaparova E.D., Pantaleimonova I.L., Romanyukha I.V. Some moving X-ray picture (axial) hiatal hernia and diagnostic in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Health. Medical ecology. Science.* 2012;47(1–2):127–30. (In Russ.)].
53. Абдулхакова Д.А., Абдулхаков Р.А. Диагностика грыж пищеводного отверстия диафрагмы. *Практическая медицина.* 2022;20(2):57–62. [Abdulkhakova D.A., Abdulkhakov R.A. Diagnostics of hernias of the diaphragm esophageal opening. *Practical Medicine.* 2022;20(2):57–62. (In Russ.)]. DOI: 10.32000/2072-1757-2022-2-57-62
54. Багненко С.Ф., Васильевский Д.И., Семенов Д.Ю., Корольков А.Ю., Лапшин А.С. Грыжи пищеводного отверстия диафрагмы. Клинические рекомендации по диагностике и лечению. М., 2018. [Bagnenko S.F., Vasilevsky D.I., Semenov D.Yu., Korolkov A.Yu., Lapshin A.S. Hernias of the esophageal opening of the diaphragm. *Clinical guidelines for diagnosis and treatment.* Moscow, 2018. (In Russ.)].
55. Лишов Е.В., Перминов А.А. Анатомия пищеводно-желудочного перехода и диафрагмы как фактор выбора метода хирургического лечения грыжи пищеводного отверстия диафрагмы. *Бюллетень Восточно-Сибирского научного центра СО РАМН.* 2011;(4-2):106–8. [Lishov E.V., Perminov A.A. Anatomy of the esophagogastric junction and diaphragm as a factor in choosing a method of surgical treatment of hernia of the esophageal opening of the diaphragm. *Bulletin of the East Siberian Scientific Center SB RAMS.* 2011;(4-2):106–8. (In Russ.)].
56. Черноусов Ф.А., Абдуллаев Б.А. Грыжи пищеводного отверстия диафрагмы, осложненные кровотечением. *Анналы хирургии.* 2007;5:24–8. [Chernousov F.A., Abdullaev B.A. Hernias of the esophageal opening of the diaphragm, complicated by bleeding. *Annals of Surgery (Russia).* 2007;5:24–8. (In Russ.)].
57. Черноусов Ф.А., Лишов Д.Е. Опыт хирургического лечения гигантских паразоофагеальных грыж пищеводного отверстия диафрагмы. *Грудная и сердечно-сосудистая хирургия.* 2007;2:43–6. [Chernousov F.A., Lishov D.E. Experience in surgical treatment of giant paraesophageal hernias of the esophageal opening of the diaphragm. *Grudnaya i Serdechno-Sosudistaya Khirurgiya.* 2007;2:43–6. (In Russ.)].
58. Черноусов Ф.А., Лишов Д.Е. Хирургическое лечение скользящих гигантских грыж пищеводного отверстия диафрагмы. *Грудная и сердечно-сосудистая хирургия.* 2007;5:48–5. [Chernousov F.A., Lishov D.E. Surgical treatment of sliding giant hernias of the esophageal opening of the diaphragm. *Grudnaya i Serdechno-Sosudistaya Khirurgiya.* 2007;5:48–5. (In Russ.)].
59. Sfara A., Dumitrescu D.L. The management of hiatal hernia: An update on diagnosis and treatment. *Med Pharm Rep.* 2019;92(4):321–5. DOI: 10.15386/mp-1323
60. Kavic S.M., Segan R.D., George I.M., Turner P.L., Roth J.S., Park A. Classification of hiatal hernias using dynamic three-dimensional reconstruction. *Surg Innov.* 2006;13(1):49–52. DOI: 10.1177/155335060601300108
61. Ayyildiz V.A., Özgökçe M., Türkoglu S., Dündar I., Durmaz F., Özkaçmaz S., et al. Radiological appearance of hiatal hernias on computed tomography. *East J Med.* 2022;27(1):11–5. DOI: 10.5505/ejm.2022.33341
62. Ганцев Ш.Х., Камалетдинова Ю.Ю. Совершенствование диагностики грыж пищеводного отверстия диафрагмы в онкологической клинике. Уфа: Новый стиль, 2006. [Gantsev Sh.Kh., Kamaletdinova Yu.Yu. Improving the diagnostics of hernias of the esophageal opening of the diaphragm in an oncology clinic. Ufa: Novy Stil Publ., 2006. (In Russ.)].
63. Shaheen N., Falk G., Iyer P., Souza R.F., Yadlapati R.H., Sauer B.G., et al. Diagnosis and management of Barrett's esophagus: An updated ACG guideline. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2022;117(4):559–87. DOI: 10.14309/ajg.0000000000001680
64. Roman S., Kahrlas P.J. The diagnosis and management of hiatus hernia. *BMJ.* 2014;349:g6154. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.g6154
65. Yu H.X., Han C.S., Xue J.R., Han Z.F., Xin H. Esophageal hiatal hernia: Risk, diagnosis and management. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2018;12(4):319–29. DOI: 10.1080/17474124.2018.1441711
66. Kohn G.P., Price R.R., DeMeester S.R., Zehetner J., Muensterer O.J., Awad Z., et al. Guidelines for the management of hiatal hernia. *Surg Endosc.* 2013;27(12):4409–28. DOI: 10.1007/s00464-013-3173-3
67. Zheng Z., Shang Y., Wang N., Liu X., Xin C., Yan X., et al. Current advancement on the dynamic mechanism of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Int J Biol Sci.* 2021;17(15):4154–64. DOI: 10.7150/ijbs.65066
68. Jiang L.Q., Ye B.X., Wang M.F., Lin L. Acid exposure in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease is associated with esophageal dysmotility. *J Dig Dis.* 2019;20(2):73–7. DOI: 10.1111/1751-2980.12703
69. Mittal R., Vaezi M.F. Esophageal motility disorders and gastroesophageal reflux disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(20):1961–72. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra2000328
70. Bowers S.P. Approach to patients with esophageal dysphagia. In: D. Oleynikov, P. Fisichella (eds). A mastery

- approach to complex esophageal diseases. *Springer, Cham*, 2018. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-75795-7_2
71. Tristão L.S., Tustumí F., Tavares G., Bernardo WM. Fundoplication versus oral proton pump inhibitors for gastroesophageal reflux disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Esophagus*. 2021;18(2):173–80. DOI: 10.1007/s10388-020-00806-w
72. McKinley S.K., Dirks R.C., Walsh D., Hollands C., Arthur L.E., Rodriguez N., et al. Surgical treatment of GERD: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surg Endosc*. 2021;35:4095–123. DOI: 10.1007/s00464-021-08358-5
73. Markar S., Andreou A., Bonavina L., Florez I.D., Huo B., Kontouli K.-M., et al. UEG and EAES rapid guideline: Update systematic review, network meta-analysis, CINEMA and GRADE assessment, and evidence-informed European recommendations on surgical management of GERD. *United European Gastroenterol J*. 2022;10(9):983–98. DOI: 10.1002/ueg2.12318
74. Slater B.J., Dirks R.C., McKinley S.K., Ansari M.T., Kohn G.P., Thosani N., et al. SAGES guidelines for the surgical treatment of gastroesophageal reflux (GERD). *Surg Endosc*. 2021;35(9):4903–17. DOI: 10.1007/s00464-021-08625-5
75. Armijo P.R., Pokala B., Misfeldt M., Pagkratis S., Oleynikov D. Predictors of hiatal hernia recurrence after laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery with hiatal hernia repair: A prospective database analysis. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2019;23(4):696–701. DOI: 10.1007/s11605-018-04073-0
76. Spechler S.J., Lee E., Ahnen D., Goyal R.K., Hirano I., Ramirez F., et al. Long-term outcome of medical and surgical therapies for gastroesophageal reflux disease. Follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2001;285(18):2331–8. DOI: 10.1001/jama.285.18.2331
77. Ferguson M.K., Fennerty B.M., et al. Managing failed anti-reflux therapy. Springer-Verlag/London; 2006.
78. Cao Z., Cai W., Qin M., Zhao H., Yue P., Li Y. Randomized clinical trial of laparoscopic anterior 180 degrees partial versus 360 degrees Nissen fundoplication: 5-year results. *Dis Esophagus*. 2012;25(2):114–20. DOI: 10.1111/j.1442-2050.2011.01235.x
79. Obeid N.R., Altieri M.S., Yang J., Park J., Price K., Bates A., et al. Patterns of reoperation after failed fundoplication: an analysis of 9462 patients. *Surg Endosc*. 2018;32(1):345–50. DOI: 10.1007/s00464-017-5682-y
80. Broeders J.A., Roks D.J., Draaisma W.A., Vlek A.L., Hazebroek E.J., Broeders I.A., et al. Predictors of objectively identified recurrent reflux after primary Nissen fundoplication. *Br J Surg*. 2011;98(5):673–9. DOI: 10.1002/bjs.7411
81. Carlson M.A., Frantzides C.T. Complications and results of primary minimally invasive antireflux procedures: A review of 10,735 reported cases. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2001;193(4):428–39. DOI: 10.1016/s1072-7515(01)00992-9
82. Laxague F., Sadava E.E., Herbellia F., Schlotmann F. When should we use mesh in laparoscopic hiatal hernia repair? A systematic review. *Dis Esophagus*. 2021;34(6):doaa125. DOI: 10.1093/dote/doaa125
83. Degrandi O., Laurent E., Najah H., Aldajani N., Gronnier C., Collet D. Laparoscopic surgery for recurrent hiatal hernia. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A*. 2020;30(8):883–6. DOI: 10.1089/lap.2020.0118
84. Dallemagne B., Kohnen L., Perretta S., Weerts J., Markiewicz S., Jehaes C. Laparoscopic repair of paraesophageal hernia: Long-term follow-up reveals good clinical outcome despite high radiological recurrence rate. *Ann Surg*. 2011;253(2):291–6. DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181ff44c0
85. Imai T.A., Soukiasian H.J. Management of complications in paraesophageal hernia repair. *Thorac Surg Clin*. 2019;29(4):351–8. DOI: 10.1016/j.thorsurg.2019.07.009
86. Larusson H.J., Zingg U., Hahnloser D., Delport K., Seifert B., Oertli D. Predictive factors for morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing laparoscopic paraesophageal hernia repair: Age, ASA score and operation type influence morbidity. *World J Surg*. 2009;33(5):980–5. DOI: 10.1007/s00268-009-9958-9
87. Khoursheed M.A., Al-Asfoor M., Al-Shamali M., et al. Effectiveness of laparoscopic fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. 2001;83(4):229–34.
88. Menon V.S., Manson J.M., Baxter J.N. Laparoscopic fundoplication: Learning curve and patient satisfaction. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. 2003;85(1):10–3. DOI: 10.1308/003588403321001345
89. Novitsky Y.W., Zawacki J.K., Irwin R.S., French C.T., Hussey V.M., Callery M.P. Chronic cough due to gastroesophageal reflux disease: Efficacy of anti-reflux surgery. *Surg Endosc*. 2002;16(4):567–71. DOI: 10.1007/s00464-001-8328-y
90. Черноусов А.Ф., Хоробрых Т.В., Ветшев Ф.П. Ошибки и осложнения антирефлюксной хирургии. *Вестник хирургической гастроэнтерологии*. 2014;1–2:5–16. [Chernousov A.F., Khorobrykh T.V., Vetshev F.P. Errors and complications of antireflux surgery. *Herald of Surgical Gastroenterology*. 2014;1–2:5–16. (In Russ.)].
91. Hinder R.A., Klingler P.J., Perdikis G., Smith S.L. Management of the failed anti-reflux operation. *Surg Clin North Am*. 1997;77(5):1083–98. DOI: 10.1016/s0039-6109(05)70606-x
92. Hunter J.G., Smith C.D., Branum G.D., Waring J.P., Trus T.L., Cornwell M., et al. Laparoscopic fundoplication failures: Patterns of failure and response to fundoplication revision. *Ann Surg*. 1999;230(4):595–606. DOI: 10.1097/00000658-199910000-00015
93. Beldi G., Glattli A. Long-term gastrointestinal symptoms after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech*. 2002;12(5):316–9. DOI: 10.1097/00129689-200210000-00002
94. Андреев Д.Н., Маев И.В., Бордин Д.С., Абдулхаков С.Р., Шабуров Р.И., Соколов Ф.С. Распространенность гастроэзофагеальной рефлюксной болезни в России: метаанализ популяционных исследований. *Терапевтический архив*. 2024;96(8):751–6. [Andreev D.N., Maev I.V., Bordin D.S., Abdulkhakov S.R., Shaburov R.I., Sokolov P.S. Prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux disease in Russia: A meta-analysis of population-based studies. *Terapevticheskii arkhiv*. 2024;96(8):751–6. (In Russ.)]. DOI: 10.26442/00403660.2024.08.202807
95. Nirwan J.S., Hasan S.S., Babar Z.U., Conway B.R., Ghori M.U. Global prevalence and risk factors of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD): Systematic review with meta-analysis. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):5814. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-62795-1

Information about the authors

Igor Ye. Khatkov — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Academician of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Director, Loginov Moscow Clinical Scientific Center; Head of the Department of Intermediate Level Surgery, Russian University of Medicine. Contact information: ihatkov@gmail.com; 111123, Moscow, Novogireyevskaya str., 1, build. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3107-3731>

Сведения об авторах

Хатьков Игорь Евгеньевич — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, академик РАН, директор, ГБУЗ «Московский клинический научно-практический центр им. А.С. Логинова» Департамента здравоохранения города Москвы; заведующий кафедрой факультетской хирургии № 2, ФГБОУ ВО «Российский университет медицины» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации
Контактная информация: ihatkov@gmail.com; 111123, г. Москва, ул. Новогиреевская, 1, корп. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3107-3731>

Dmitry S. Bordin* — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Head of the Department of Pathology of the Pancreas, Bile Tract and Upper Digestive Tract, Loginov Moscow Clinical Scientific Center; Professor of the Department of Propaedeutics of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, Russian University of Medicine; Professor of the Department of General Medical Practice and Family Medicine, Faculty of Postgraduate Education, Tver State Medical University.

Contact information: dbordin@mail.ru;

111123, Moscow, Novogireyevskaya str., 1, build. 1.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2815-3992>

Бордин Дмитрий Станиславович* — доктор медицинских наук, заведующий отделом патологии поджелудочной железы, желчных путей и верхних отделов пищеварительного тракта, ГБУЗ «Московский клинический научно-практический центр им. А.С. Логинова» Департамента здравоохранения города Москвы; профессор кафедры пропедевтики внутренних болезней и гастроэнтерологии, ФГБОУ ВО «Российский университет медицины» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации; профессор кафедры общей врачебной практики и семейной медицины факультета последипломного образования, ФГБОУ ВО «Тверской государственный медицинский университет» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации.

Контактная информация: dbordin@mail.ru;

111123, г. Москва, ул. Новогиреевская, 1, корп. 1.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2815-3992>

Oleg S. Vasnev — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Head of the Department of High-Tech Surgery and Surgical Endoscopy, Loginov Moscow Clinical Scientific Center.

Contact information: osvasnev@gmail.com;

111123, Moscow, Novogireyevskaya str., 1, build. 1.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9116-9693>

Васнев Олег Сергеевич — доктор медицинских наук, заведующий отделением высокотехнологичной хирургии и хирургической эндоскопии, ГБУЗ «Московский клинический научно-практический центр им. А.С. Логинова» Департамента здравоохранения города Москвы.

Контактная информация: osvasnev@gmail.com;

111123, г. Москва, ул. Новогиреевская, 1, корп. 1.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9116-9693>

Sayar R. Abdulkhakov — Cand. Sci. (Med.), Head of the Department of Internal Medicine, Institute of Fundamental Medicine and Biology, Kazan (Volga Region) Federal University; Associate Professor of the Department of Out-patient Therapy and General Medical Practice, Kazan State Medical University.

Contact information: sayarabdul@yandex.ru;

420012, Kazan, Kremlevskaya str., 18.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9542-3580>

Абдулхаков Сайяр Рустамович — кандидат медицинских наук, заведующий кафедрой внутренних болезней Института фундаментальной медицины и биологии, ФГАОУ ВО «Казанский (Приволжский) федеральный университет»; доцент кафедры поликлинической терапии и общей врачебной практики, ФГБОУ ВО «Казанский государственный медицинский университет» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации.

Контактная информация: sayarabdul@yandex.ru;

420012, г. Казань, ул. Кремлевская, 18.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9542-3580>

Aleksandr S. Allakhverdyan — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Head of the Department of Thoracic Surgery, Russian University of Medicine.

Contact information: allakhverdyan@rambler.ru;

127473, Moscow, Delegatskaya str., 20, build. 1.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7314-0475>

Аллахвердян Александр Сергеевич — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, заведующий кафедрой торакальной хирургии, ФГБОУ ВО «Российский университет медицины» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации.

Контактная информация: allakhverdyan@rambler.ru;

127473, г. Москва, ул. Делегатская, 20, стр. 1.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7314-0475>

Dmitry N. Andreev — Cand. Sci. (Med.), Associate Professor of the Department of Propaedeutics of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, Russian University of Medicine.

Contact information: dna-mit8@mail.ru;

127473, Moscow, Delegatskaya str., 20, build. 1.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4007-7112>

Андреев Дмитрий Николаевич — кандидат медицинских наук, доцент кафедры пропедевтики внутренних болезней и гастроэнтерологии, ФГБОУ ВО «Российский университет медицины» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации.

Контактная информация: dna-mit8@mail.ru;

127473, г. Москва, ул. Делегатская, 20, стр. 1.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4007-7112>

Vladimir V. Anishchenko — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Head of the Department of Surgery, Faculty of Advanced Training and Professional Retraining, Novosibirsk State Medical University.

Contact information: avv1110@yandex.ru;

630099, Novosibirsk, Kommunisticheskaya str., 17/1.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1178-5205>

Анищенко Владимир Владимирович — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, заведующий кафедрой хирургии факультета повышения квалификации и профессиональной подготовки, ФГБОУ ВО «Новосибирский государственный медицинский университет» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации.

Контактная информация: avv1110@yandex.ru;

630099, г. Новосибирск, ул. Коммунистическая, 17/1.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1178-5205>

* Corresponding author / Автор, ответственный за переписку

Igor G. Bakulin — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Head of the Department of Propaedeutics of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology and Dietetics named after S.M. Ryss, North-Western State Medical University named after I.I. Mechnikov. Contact information: igbakulin@yandex.ru; 191015, Saint Petersburg, Kirochnaya str., 41. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6151-2021>

Natalia V. Bakulina — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Head of the Department of Internal Medicine, Nephrology, General and Clinical Pharmacology with a Course in Pharmacy, North-Western State Medical University named after I.I. Mechnikov. Contact information: natalya.bakulina@szgmu.ru; 191015, Saint Petersburg, Kirochnaya str., 41. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4075-4096>

Mikhail V. Burmistrov — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Head of the Department of Surgical Diseases of Postgraduate Education, IFMiB Kazan Federal University, Deputy Chief Medical Officer, Republican Clinical Hospital of the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Tatarstan. Contact information: burma71@mail.ru; 420064, Kazan, Orenurgsky tract, 138. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5334-6481>

Elen R. Valitova — Cand. Sci. (Med.), Head of the Laboratory of Functional Diagnostics of Esophagus and Stomach Diseases, Loginov Moscow Clinical Scientific Center. Contact information: e.valitova@mknc.ru; 111123, Moscow, Novogireyevskaya str., 1, build. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3397-9748>

Dmitry I. Vasilevsky — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Head of the Department of Surgical Diseases of the Faculty of Dentistry named after Prof. A.M. Ganichkin, Academician I.P. Pavlov First Saint Petersburg State Medical University. Contact information: vasilevsky1969@gmail.com; 197022, Saint Petersburg, L'va Tolstogo str., 6-8. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7283-079X>

Fedor P. Vetshev — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Head of the Department of Intermediate Level Surgery No. 1, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University (Sechenov University). Contact information: fedor_vetshev@mail.ru; 119435, Moscow, Pogodinskaya str., 1, build. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6589-092X>

Eduard A. Gallyamov — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor of the Department of Intermediate Level Surgery No. 1, Russian University of Medicine. Contact information: gallyamove@gmail.com; 127473, Moscow, Delegatskaya str., 20, build. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6359-0998>

Баулин Игорь Геннадьевич — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, заведующий кафедрой пропедевтики внутренних болезней, гастроэнтерологии и диетологии им. С.М. Рысса, ФГБОУ ВО «Северо-Западный государственный медицинский университет им. И.И. Мечникова» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации. Контактная информация: igbakulin@yandex.ru; 191015, г. Санкт-Петербург, ул. Кирочная, 41. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6151-2021>

Бакулина Наталья Валерьевна — доктор медицинских наук, заведующая кафедрой внутренних болезней, нефрологии, общей и клинической фармакологии с курсом фармации, ФГБОУ ВО «Северо-Западный государственный медицинский университет им. И.И. Мечникова» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации. Контактная информация: natalya.bakulina@szgmu.ru; 191015, г. Санкт-Петербург, ул. Кирочная, 41. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4075-4096>

Бурмистров Михаил Владимирович — доктор медицинских наук, Заведующий кафедрой хирургических болезней постдипломного образования ИФМиБ Казанский Федеральный Университет, заместитель главного врача по медицинской части Республиканская клиническая Больница Министерства здравоохранения Республики Татарстан Контактная информация: burma71@mail.ru; 420064, г. Казань, Оренбургский тракт, 138. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5334-6481>

Валитова Элен Робертовна — кандидат медицинских наук, заведующая лабораторией функциональной диагностики заболеваний пищевода и желудка, ГБУЗ «Московский клинический научно-практический центр им. А.С. Логинова» Департамента здравоохранения города Москвы. Контактная информация: e.valitova@mknc.ru; 111123, г. Москва, ул. Новогиреевская, 1, корп. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3397-9748>

Васильевский Дмитрий Игоревич — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, заведующий кафедрой «Кафедра хирургических болезней стоматологического факультета им. проф. А.М. Ганичкина» и в англоязычной версии тоже, ФГБОУ ВО «Первый Санкт-Петербургский государственный медицинский университет им. И.П. Павлова» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации. Контактная информация: vasilevsky1969@gmail.com; 197022, г. Санкт-Петербург, ул. Льва Толстого, 6–8. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7283-079X>

Ветшев Федор Петрович — доктор медицинских наук, заведующий кафедрой факультетской хирургии № 1, ФГАОУ ВО «Первый Московский государственный медицинский университет им. И.М. Сеченова» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации (Сеченовский Университет). Контактная информация: fedor_vetshev@mail.ru; 119435, г. Москва, ул. Погодинская, 1, стр. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6589-092X>

Галлямов Эдуард Абдулхаевич — доктор медицинских наук, профессор кафедры факультетской хирургии № 1, ФГБОУ ВО «Российский университет медицины» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации. Контактная информация: gallyamove@gmail.com; 127473, г. Москва, ул. Делегатская, 20, стр. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6359-0998>

Sergey A. Domrachev — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Professor of the Department of Intermediate Level Surgery No. 2, Russian University of Medicine.
 Contact information: domra53@list.ru;
 127473, Moscow, Delegatskaya str., 20, build. 1.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5194-5416>

Roman Ye. Izrailov — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Head of the Department of Innovative Surgery, Loginov Moscow Clinical Scientific Center.
 Contact information: r.izrailov@mknc.ru;
 111123, Moscow, Novogireyevskaya str., 1, build. 1.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7254-5411>

Vasily A. Isakov — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Head of the Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Diet Therapy, Federal Research Center of Nutrition, Biotechnology and Food Safety.
 Contact information: vasily.isakov@gmail.com;
 109240, Moscow, Ustyinsky lane, 2/14.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4417-8076>

Valeria O. Kaybysheva — Cand. Sci. (Med.), Senior Researcher, Research Laboratory of Surgical Gastroenterology and Endoscopy, N.I. Pirogov Russian National Research Medical University.
 Contact information: valeriakai@mail.ru;
 117513, Moscow, Ostrovityanova str., 1.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0114-3700>

Mikhail A. Koshkin — Cand. Sci. (Med.), Head of Short-Stay Inpatient Department, Loginov Moscow Clinical Scientific Center.
 Contact information: m.koshkin@mknc.ru;
 111123, Moscow, Novogireyevskaya str., 1, build. 1.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0124-1589>

Yury A. Kucheryavy — Cand. Sci. (Med.), Head of the Gastroenterology Department, Ilyinskaya Hospital.
 Contact information: proped@mail.ru;
 143421, Moscow Region, Krasnogorsk Okrug, Glukhovo village, Rublevskoe Predmestie str., 2, build 2.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7760-2091>

Maria A. Livzan — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Corresponding Member of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Head of the Department of Intermediate Level Therapy and Gastroenterology, Rector, Omsk State Medical University.
 Contact information: mlivzan@yandex.ru;
 644099, Omsk, Lenina str., 12.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6581-7017>

Oleg E. Lutsevich — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Corresponding Member of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Head of the Department of Intermediate Level Surgery No. 1, Russian University of Medicine.
 Contact information: leglutsevich@gmail.com;
 127473, Moscow, Delegatskaya str., 20, build. 1.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8092-0573>

Домрачев Сергей Анатольевич — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, профессор кафедры факультетской хирургии № 2, ФГБОУ ВО «Российский университет медицины» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации.
 Контактная информация: domra53@list.ru;
 127473, г. Москва, ул. Делегатская, 20, стр. 1.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5194-5416>

Израилов Роман Евгеньевич — доктор медицинских наук, заведующий отделом инновационной хирургии, ГБУЗ «Московский клинический научно-практический центр им. А.С. Логинова» Департамента здравоохранения города Москвы.
 Контактная информация: r.izrailov@mknc.ru;
 111123, г. Москва, ул. Новогиреевская, 1, корп. 1.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7254-5411>

Исааков Василий Андреевич — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, заведующий отделением гастроэнтерологии, гепатологии и диетотерапии, ФГБУН «Федеральный исследовательский центр питания, биотехнологии и безопасности пищи». Контактная информация: vasily.isakov@gmail.com;
 109240, г. Москва, Устьинский проезд, 2/14.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4417-8076>

Кайбышева Валерия Олеговна — кандидат медицинских наук, старший научный сотрудник научно-исследовательской лаборатории хирургической гастроэнтерологии и эндоскопии, ФГАОУ ВО «Российский национальный исследовательский медицинский университет им. Н.И. Пирогова» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации.
 Контактная информация: valeriakai@mail.ru;
 117513, г. Москва, ул. Островитянова, 1.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0114-3700>

Кошкин Михаил Анатольевич — кандидат медицинских наук, заведующий отделением, стационар кратковременного пребывания, ГБУЗ «Московский клинический научно-практический центр им. А.С. Логинова» Департамента здравоохранения города Москвы.
 Контактная информация: m.koshkin@mknc.ru;
 111123, г. Москва, ул. Новогиреевская, 1, корп. 1.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0124-1589>

Кучерявый Юрий Александрович — кандидат медицинских наук, заведующий гастроэнтерологическим отделением, АО «Ильинская больница».
 Контактная информация: proped@mail.ru;
 143421, Московская обл., г.о. Красногорск, д. Глухово, ул. Рублевское предместье, 2, корп. 2.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7760-2091>

Ливзан Мария Анатольевна — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, член-корреспондент РАН, заведующий кафедрой факультетской терапии и гастроэнтерологии, ректор, ФГБОУ ВО «Омский государственный медицинский университет» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации.
 Контактная информация: mlivzan@yandex.ru;
 644099, г. Омск, ул. Ленина, 12.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6581-7017>

Луцевич Олег Эммануилович — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, член-корреспондент РАН, заведующий кафедрой факультетской хирургии № 1, ФГБОУ ВО «Российский университет медицины» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации.
 Контактная информация: oleglutsevich@gmail.com;
 127473, г. Москва, ул. Делегатская, 20, стр. 1.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8092-0573>

Igor V. Maev — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Academician of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Head of the Department of Propaedeutics of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, Russian University of Medicine.
 Contact information: igormaev@rambler.ru;
 127473, Moscow, Delegatskaya str., 20, build. 1.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org//0000-0001-6114-564X>

Sergey V. Morozov — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Leading Researcher of the Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Diet Therapy, Federal Research Center of Nutrition, Biotechnology and Food Safety; Professor of the Department of Gastroenterology, Russian Medical Academy of Continuous Professional Education.
 Contact information: morosoffsv@mail.ru;
 109240, Moscow, Ustyinsky lane, 2/14.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6816-3058>

Anton A. Moroshek — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Senior Lecturer of the Department of Surgical Diseases of Postgraduate Education, Kazan (Volga Region) Federal University.
 Contact information: anton.moroshek@mail.ru;
 420008, Kazan, Kremlevskaya str., 18.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8338-2371>

Marina F. Osipenko — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Head of the Department of Propaedeutics of Internal Diseases, Novosibirsk State Medical University.
 Contact information: ngma@bk.ru;
 630091, Novosibirsk, Krasny Avenue, 52.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5156-2842>

Mikhail V. Pavlov — Radiologist, Loginov Moscow Clinical Scientific Center.
 Contact information: m.pavlov@mknrc.ru;
 111123, Moscow, Novogireyevskaya str. 1, build. 1.

Elena V. Parfenchikova — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Head of the Department of Diagnostic Endoscopy, Loginov Moscow Clinical Scientific Center.
 Contact information: e.bystrovskaya@mknrc.ru;
 111123, Moscow, Novogireyevskaya str. 1, build. 1.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6972-8644>

Dmitry V. Ruchkin — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Head of the Department of Reconstructive Surgery of the Esophagus and Stomach, National Medical Research Center of Surgery named after A. Vishnevsky.
 Contact information: ruchkindmitry@gmail.com;
 117997, Moscow, Bolshaya Serpukhovskaya str., 27.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9068-3922>

Маев Игорь Вениаминович — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, академик РАН, заведующий кафедрой пропедевтики внутренних болезней и гастроэнтерологии, ФГБОУ ВО «Российский университет медицины» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации.
 Контактная информация: igormaev@rambler.ru;
 127473, г. Москва, ул. Делегатская, 20, стр. 1.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org//0000-0001-6114-564X>

Морозов Сергей Владимирович — доктор медицинских наук, ведущий научный сотрудник отделения гастроэнтерологии, гепатологии и диетотерапии, ФГБУН «Федеральный исследовательский центр питания, биотехнологии и безопасности пищи»; профессор кафедры гастроэнтерологии, ФГБОУ ДПО «Российская медицинская академия непрерывного профессионального образования» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации.
 Контактная информация: morosoffsv@mail.ru;
 109240, г. Москва, Устьинский проезд, 2/14.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6816-3058>

Морошек Антон Александрович — доктор медицинских наук, старший преподаватель кафедры хирургических болезней постдипломного образования, ФГАОУ ВО «Казанский (Приволжский) федеральный университет».
 Контактная информация: anton.moroshek@mail.ru;
 420008, г. Казань, ул. Кремлевская, 18.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8338-2371>

Осипенко Марина Федоровна — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, заведующая кафедрой пропедевтики внутренних болезней, ФГБОУ ВО «Новосибирский государственный медицинский университет» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации.
 Контактная информация: ngma@bk.ru;
 630091, г. Новосибирск, Красный просп., 52.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5156-2842>

Павлов Михаил Владимирович — врач-рентгенолог, ГБУЗ «Московский клинический научно-практический центр им. А.С. Логинова» Департамента здравоохранения города Москвы.
 Контактная информация: m.pavlov@mknrc.ru;
 111123, г. Москва, ул. Новогиреевская, 1, корп. 1.

Парфенчикова Елена Владимировна — доктор медицинских наук, заведующая отделением диагностической эндоскопии, ГБУЗ «Московский клинический научно-практический центр им. А.С. Логинова» Департамента здравоохранения города Москвы.
 Контактная информация: e.bystrovskaya@mknrc.ru;
 111123, г. Москва, ул. Новогиреевская, 1, корп. 1.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6972-8644>

Ручкин Дмитрий Валерьевич — доктор медицинских наук, руководитель отделения реконструктивной хирургии пищевода и желудка, ФГБУ «Национальный медицинский исследовательский центр хирургии им. А.В. Вишневского» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации.
 Контактная информация: ruchkindmitry@gmail.com;
 117997, г. Москва, ул. Большая Серпуховская, 27.
 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9068-3922>

Alexander V. Sazhin — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Corresponding Member of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Head of the Department of Intermediate Level Surgery No. 1, N.I. Pirogov Russian National Research Medical University. Contact information: sazhan-av@yandex.ru; 117513, Moscow, Ostrovityanova str., 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6188-6093>

Alexander A. Smirnov — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Head of the Endoscopy Department, Research Institute of Surgery and Emergency Medicine, Associate Professor of the Department of Hospital Surgery No. 2, Academician I.P. Pavlov First Saint Petersburg State Medical University. Contact information: Smirnov-1959@yandex.ru; 197022, Saint Petersburg, L'va Tolstogo str., 6-8. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6440-2370>

Olga A. Storonova — Cand. Sci. (Med.), Physician of the Functional Diagnostics Department, V. Kh. Vasilenko Clinic of Propaedeutics of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology and Hepatology, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University (Sechenov University). Contact information: storonova_o_a@staff.sechenov.ru; 119435, Moscow, Pogodinskaya str., 1, build. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0960-1166>

Alexander S. Trukhmanov — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor of the Department of Propaedeutics of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology and Hepatology of the N.V. Sklifosovsky Institute of Clinical Medicine, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University (Sechenov University). Contact information: alexander.trukhmanov@gmail.com; 119435, Moscow, Pogodinskaya str., 1, build. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3362-2968>

Tatiana V. Khorobrykh — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Professor of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Head of the Department of Intermediate Level Surgery No. 2 named after G.I. Lukomsky of the N.V. Sklifosovsky Institute of Clinical Medicine, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University (Sechenov University). Contact information: Horobryh68@list.ru; 119435, Moscow, Pogodinskaya str., 1, build. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5769-5091>

Mikhail F. Cherkasov — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Head of Department of Surgery No. 4, Rostov State Medical University. Contact information: Cherkasovmf@mail.ru; 344022, Rostov-on-Don, Nakhichevansky Lane, 29. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7587-8406>

Aleksey L. Shestakov — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Head of the Department of Esophagus and Stomach Surgery, Petrovsky National Research Centre of Surgery. Contact information: shestakov_a_l@staff.sechenov.ru; 119435, Moscow, Abrikosovsky lane, 2. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3387-7442>

Сажин Александр Вячеславович — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, член-корреспондент РАН, заведующий кафедрой факультетской хирургии № 1, ФГАОУ ВО «Российский национальный исследовательский медицинский университет им. Н.И. Пирогова» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации. Контактная информация: sazhan-av@yandex.ru; 117513, г. Москва, ул. Островитянова, 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6188-6093>

Смирнов Александр Александрович — доктор медицинских наук, руководитель отдела эндоскопии, НИИ хирургии и неотложной медицины, доцент кафедры госпитальной хирургии № 2, ФГБОУ ВО «Первый Санкт-Петербургский государственный медицинский университет им. академика И.П. Павлова» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации. Контактная информация: Smirnov-1959@yandex.ru; 197022, г. Санкт-Петербург, ул. Льва Толстого, 6-8. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6440-2370>

Сторонова Ольга Андреевна — кандидат медицинских наук, врач отделения функциональной диагностики Клиники пропедевтики внутренних болезней, гастроэнтерологии и гепатологии им. В.Х. Василенко, ФГАОУ ВО «Первый Московский государственный медицинский университет им. И.М. Сеченова» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации (Сеченовский Университет). Контактная информация: storonova_o_a@staff.sechenov.ru; 119435, г. Москва, ул. Погодинская, 1, стр. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0960-1166>

Трухманов Александр Сергеевич — доктор медицинских наук, профессор кафедры пропедевтики внутренних болезней, гастроэнтерологии и гепатологии Института клинической медицины им. Н.В. Склифосовского, ФГАОУ ВО «Первый Московский государственный медицинский университет им. И.М. Сеченова» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации (Сеченовский университет). Контактная информация: alexander.trukhmanov@gmail.com; 119435, г. Москва, ул. Погодинская, 1, стр. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3362-2968>

Хоробрых Татьяна Витальевна — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, профессор РАН, заведующий кафедрой факультетской хирургии № 2 им. Г.И. Лукомского Института клинической медицины им. Н.В. Склифосовского, ФГАОУ ВО «Первый Московский государственный медицинский университет им. И.М. Сеченова» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации (Сеченовский университет). Контактная информация: Horobryh68@list.ru; 119435, г. Москва, ул. Погодинская, 1, стр. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5769-5091>

Черкасов Михаил Федорович — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, заведующий кафедрой хирургии № 4, ФГБОУ ВО «Ростовский государственный медицинский университет» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации. Контактная информация: Cherkasovmf@mail.ru; 344022, г. Ростов-на-Дону, пер. Нахичеванский, 29. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7587-8406>

Шестаков Алексей Леонидович — доктор медицинских наук, заведующий отделением хирургии пищевода и желудка, ФГБНУ «Российский научный центр хирургии им. академика Б.В. Петровского» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации. Контактная информация: shestakov_a_l@staff.sechenov.ru; 119435, г. Москва, Абрикосовский пер., 2. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3387-7442>

Kirill V. Shishin — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Head of Endoscopy Department, Loginov Moscow Clinical Scientific Center. Contact information: k.shishin@mknc.ru; 111123, Moscow, Novogireyevskaya str., 1, build. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0010-5294>

Yulia V. Embutnieks — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Head of the Department of Upper Gastrointestinal Tract Pathology, Loginov Moscow Clinical Scientific Center. Contact information: y.embutnieks@mknc.ru; 111123, Moscow, Novogireyevskaya str., 1, build. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6479-9515>

Vladimir T. Ivashkin — Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor, Academician of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Head of the Department of Propaedeutics of Internal Diseases, Gastroenterology and Hepatology of the N.V. Sklifosovsky Institute of Clinical Medicine, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University (Sechenov University). Contact information: ivashkin_v_t@staff.sechenov.ru; 119435, Moscow, Pogodinskaya str., 1, build. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6815-6015>

Шишин Кирилл Вячеславович — доктор медицинских наук, руководитель отдела эндоскопии, ГБУЗ «Московский клинический научно-практический центр им. А.С. Логинова» Департамента здравоохранения города Москвы. Контактная информация: k.shishin@mknc.ru; 111123, г. Москва, ул. Новогиреевская, 1, корп. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0010-5294>

Эмбутниекс Юлия Викторовна — доктор медицинских наук, заведующая отделением патологии верхних отделов пищеварительного тракта, ГБУЗ «Московский клинический научно-практический центр им. А.С. Логинова» Департамента здравоохранения города Москвы. Контактная информация: y.embutnieks@mknc.ru; 111123, г. Москва, ул. Новогиреевская, 1, корп. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6479-9515>

Ивашкин Владимир Трофимович — доктор медицинских наук, профессор, академик РАН, заведующий кафедрой пропедевтики внутренних болезней, гастроэнтерологии и гепатологии Института клинической медицины им. Н.В. Склифосовского, ФГАОУ ВО «Первый Московский государственный медицинский университет им. И.М. Сеченова» Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации (Сеченовский Университет); Главный внештатный специалист гастроэнтеролог Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации. Контактная информация: ivashkin_v_t@staff.sechenov.ru; 119048, г. Москва, ул. Погодинская, 1, стр. 1. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6815-6015>

Authors' contributions

All the authors contributed with data collection and analysis, writing of the manuscript, approving final version and its publication.

Вклад авторов

Все авторы внесли равный вклад в сбор и анализ данных, написание документа, утверждение финальной версии и дали согласие на публикацию.

Submitted: 11.11.2024 Accepted: 06.01.2025 Published: 28.02.2025
Поступила: 11.11.2024 Принята: 06.01.2025 Опубликована: 28.02.2025